ECE/CEP/AC.13/2006/5/Add.1 31 July 2006

## ECONOMIC COMMISSION FOR EUROPE

# COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL POLICY

UNECE Steering Committee on Education for Sustainable Development

Second meeting Geneva, 4–5 December 2006 Item 3 of the provisional agenda

#### INDICATORS FOR EDUCATION FOR SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT

### Addendum

# Reporting format<sup>1</sup>

- 1. The reporting format provided in this document was developed following the adoption by the UNECE Steering Committee on Education for Sustainable Development (ESD) of the Work Plan for the Implementation of the UNECE Strategy for Education for Sustainable Development, 2005–2007 (CEP/AC.13/2005/8), which contains the procedure for the review of implementation of the UNECE Strategy for ESD.
- 2. The *set of indicators* was developed by the UNECE Expert Group on Indicators for ESD that was set up by the High-level Meeting on ESD. Two complementary progress reports provide the information on the developed set of indicators (see documents CEP/AC.13/2005/9 and ECE/CEP/AC.13/2006/5).
- 3. Following the request of the High-level Meeting, the *reporting requirements* were streamlined by UNESCO and UNECE. Thus, UNECE Member States will be able to submit a single report on the implementation of the UNECE Strategy that can also serve as a report on the implementation of the UN Decade of ESD. A standard reporting format would provide a useful structure for processing the information received and would facilitate comparison of reports. Therefore, UNECE in cooperation with UNESCO developed the reporting template which appears in this document.
- 4. Following are the main elements of the reporting procedure:
- (i) UNECE Member States should prepare reports<sup>2</sup> through a transparent consultative process involving all relevant stakeholders at the national/state level.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> To facilitate reporting, the reporting format will be accompanied by informal guidance on reporting prepared by the secretariat in consultation with the Chairperson of the UNECE Expert Group on Indicators for ESD.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Countries with a federal structure will submit one consolidated report based on subnational/state inputs.

- (ii) The first formal call for reporting could take place in 2010. Thereafter, an updated version of the report would be prepared by the respective Member States for 2015.
   However, Member States would start reporting voluntarily by preparing reports for the Belgrade Conference.
- (iii) Reports should be submitted to the secretariat electronically in Word format. The text should be in English. Member States are also encouraged to provide the text in the two other official languages of the UNECE, French and Russian. Reports will be made available in the languages in which they are received. No editing will be provided.
- (iv) Deadlines for submission to the secretariat will take into account UN document management procedures and will be communicated by the secretariat in due course.
- (v) UNECE will post the reports on its website. It will also ensure the distribution of hard copies to the UNECE Member States and key stakeholders. UNESCO will ensure access to the reports through its website and will use them for its work.
- (vi) The UNECE secretariat will prepare a synthesis report for 2010 and for 2015, highlighting the progress made, identifying challenges and drawing up recommendations.
- (vii) Key stakeholders are encouraged to provide the secretariat with their reports on programmes or activities that support the implementation of the Strategy.
- (viii) Meetings of the Steering Committee will be a forum for considering reports. The "Environment for Europe" Ministerial Conferences will be informed of progress as appropriate and will be encouraged to hold joint environment/education sessions as needed.





# DRAFT FORMAT FOR REPORTING ON IMPLEMENTATION OF THE UNECE STRATEGY FOR EDUCATION FOR SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT

Within the framework of the United Nations Decade of Education for Sustainable Development (2005–2014)

The following report is submitted on behalf of the Government of ...... in accordance with the decision of the UNECE Steering Committee on Education for Sustainable Development

Name of officer (national focal point) responsible for submitting the report: Dr. Günther Franz Pfaffenwimmer

Date: 14. 12 2006

Full name of the institution: Austrian Federal Ministry of Education, Science and Culture,

Subdept. V/11c, Environmental Education

Postal address: Minoritenplatz 5, A-1014 Wien , Austria

Telephone: 0043 1 53120 2532 Fax: 0043 1 53120 2599

E-mail: guenther.pfaffenwimmer@bmbwk.gv.at

Website: www.bmbwk.gv.at

Contact officer for national report (if different from above):

- A. Provide brief information (not more than half a page) on the process by which this report has been prepared, including information on which types of public authorities were consulted on or contributed to its preparation; how the stakeholders were consulted and how the outcome of this consultation was taken into account; and the material used as a basis for the report.
- B. Report any particular circumstances that help clarify the context of the report for example, whether the decision-making structure is federal and/or decentralized, and whether financial constraints are a significant obstacle to implementation. (This information should not exceed half a page.)

### TEMPLATE FOR REPORTING

# ISSUE<sup>3</sup> 1. ENSURE THAT POLICY, REGULATORY AND OPERATIONAL FRAMEWORKS SUPPORT THE PROMOTION OF ESD If necessary, provide relevant information on your country situation regarding this specific objective. (up to 1,500 characters with spaces) **Indicator 1.1** Prerequisite measures are taken to support the promotion of ESD. Sub-indicator 1.1.1 Is the UNECE Strategy for ESD available in your national language(s)? Please specify languages. Yes x No Have you appointed a national focal point to deal with the UNECE Strategy for ESD? Sub-indicator 1.1.2 Yes No x Do you have a coordinating body for implementation of ESD? Sub-indicator 1.1.3 Please specify its mandate and coordinating mechanism. Please also specify whether its mandate covers implementation of the UNECE Strategy for Yes \( \subseteq \text{No x} \) ESD. Do you have a national implementation plan for ESD? Sub-indicator 1.1.4 Please specify whether this plan includes implementation of the UNECE Strategy for ESD. Yes No x Are there any synergies at the national level between UNECE ESD process, the UNESCO global process on the UN Decade of ESD,<sup>5</sup> and other Sub-indicator 1.1.5 policy processes relevant to ESD? Please specify. Yes x No $\square$ Indicator 1.2 Policy, regulatory and operational frameworks support the promotion of ESD. Sub-indicator 1.2.1 Is ESD reflected in any national policy document(s)? Please specify and list major document(s)) Austrian SD Strategy( http://www.nachhaltigkeit.at/strategie.php3?lang=en&p=strat\_strategie.php3) Yes x No Is ESD: (a) addressed in relevant national education legislation/regulatory document(s); and (b) included in your national curricula and/or national Sub-indicator 1.2.2 standards/ordinances/ requirements; at all levels of formal education, as understood by your education system in accordance with ISCED?7

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Issues 1 to 6 are in accordance with the objectives of the Strategy.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> For countries with a federal government structure, all references to "national" apply to "state", as appropriate In this context, "data at the national level" means aggregated data received from substate entities.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> The United Nations General Assembly in its resolution 57/254 of 20 December 2002 proclaimed the 10-year period beginning on 1 January 2005 the United Nations Decade of Education for Sustainable Development.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Policy documents may include national strategies, plans, programmes, guidelines and the like.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> International Standard Classification of Education (ISCED), UNESCO, 1997 (http://www.unesco.org/education/information/nfsunesco/doc/isced 1997.htm).

	Please specify for (a) and (b). Fill in the table by	y ticking (V) as appropria	te.			
		ISCED <sup>8</sup>	(a)		(b	) <sup>9</sup>
		ISCED	Yes	No	Yes	No
(a) Vas $\square$ No $\square$		0		X		X
(a) Yes No (b) Yes No (c)		1		X		X
(5) 552 🕒 553 🖺		3		X X		X X
		<u></u> 4		X		X
		<b>5</b> <sup>10</sup>		X		X
		6		X		X
		Teacher education		X	X	
Sub-indicator 1.2.3	Are non-formal and informal ESD addressed in	your relevant national pol	icy and/	or regu	latory d	locume
Yes No x	Please specify.	-	-		•	
Sub-indicator 1.2.4	Is public awareness in relation to ESD addressed	l in relevant national docu	ment(s)	)?		
Yes No x	Please specify.					
Sub-indicator 1.2.5	Does a formal structure for interdepartmental 11 c	ooperation relevant to ES	D exist	in your	govern	ment?
Yes x No 🗌	Please specify.					
Sub-indicator 1.2.6	Does a mechanism for multi-stakeholder coopera	ation on ESD exist with th	ne invol	vement	of you	r govei
Yes x No	Please specify: Consultation process for ESD-sta	rategy development as ba	sis for f	urther c	collabor	ration
Sub-indicator 1.2.7	Are public budgets and/or economic incentives a	available specifically to su	pport E	SD?		
Yes x No 🗌	Please specify.					
	Indicator 1.3 National policies s	support synergies betwee	n proc	esses re	elated t	o SD a
Sub-indicator 1.3.1	Is ESD part of SD policy(s) if such exist in your	country?				

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Education level in accordance with ISCED.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> National curricula and/or national standards/ordinances/requirements.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> For higher education institutions when answering: (1) regarding national legislation: this objective is focussing equally at the first stage of tertiary education (Bachelor), the second stage (MSc) and the third stage (PhD) whi covering various aspects such as **service agreements/contracts**, **national strategies of R&D**, **university organisation and studies acts**, or **general laws of higher educations**.; (2) regarding national and/or national standar ordinances/ requirements: special attention shall be devoted to indications of linking systems of **quality assurance and accreditation** (with regard to the Bologna process) for higher educations institutions with ESD, as well to **regulations of study programmes and study fields** which reflect the principles of ESD.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> Between state bodies.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> For explanation see paragraph 46 of the Strategy.

Yes x No 🗌	Please specify. Austrian SD strategy, key objectives 1 (p. 20 ff) and 4 (p. 35. ff), see web link above										
	Issue 2. PROMOTE SI	D THROUGH FORMA	L, NO	N-FOI	RMAL	AND I	INFOI	RMAL	LEAF	RNING	G
If necessary, provide r	relevant information on your country	situation regarding this	specific	object	ive. (up	to 1,5	00 cha	racters	with s	paces)	)
	Indica	ator 2.1 SD key themes	are ad	dresse	l in for	mal e	ducatio	n.			
Sub-indicator 2.1.1	Are key themes of SD <sup>13</sup> addressed e	explicitly in the curriculu	ım¹⁴/pro	gramm	e of stu	dy at v	arious	levels	of forn	nal edu	acation?
Yes x No 🗌	Phase II: please specify in the table in Annex 1 (a) and use the scale. Indicate the results in the box below.  A B C D E F										
Sub-indicator 2.1.2	Are learning outcomes (skills, attitudes and values) that support ESD addressed explicitly in the curriculum¹5/programme of study at various levels of formal education?										
Yes x No 🗌	Phase II: Please specify in the table in Annex 1 (b) and use the scale. Indicate the results in the box below.  A B C D E F										
	Indica	ntor 2.2 Strategies to in	plemer	t ESD	are cl	early i	dentifi	ed.			
Sub-indicator 2.2.1	Is ESD addressed through: 16 (a) exist courses? (d) a stand-alone project? 18		a cross-	curricu	lum ap	proach	? (c) th	ne prov	ision o	f speci	fic subject programmes and
	Phase II: For (a)–(d) please specify	for different levels of e	ducation	i systen	n in acc	cordan	ce with	ISCEI	) in the	e table	by ticking (V) as appropriate.
		ISCED levels	(a)		(t		((			1)	
		0	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	
(a) Yes No x		1									
(b) Yes x No☐ (c) Yes x No ☐		2									]
(d) Yes No x		3									
		5									
		6									
		Teacher education									]

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> For explanation see paragraph 15 of the Strategy.
<sup>14</sup> At the state level, where relevant.
<sup>15</sup> See footnote 14.

<sup>16</sup> For higher education institutions: These distinctions would be equal to: a) **courses and disciplines**, b) **interdisciplinary courses**, c) **separate**, **specified SD courses or seminars**, and d) **stand alone projects** implemented by the department, faculty or inter-faculty structures.

17 E.g. geography or biology. For higher education "subject" means "course".

18 A project is interpreted as a discrete activity with its own time allocation rather than a teaching/learning method.

Indicator 2.3 A whole-institution approach <sup>19</sup> to ESD/SD is promoted.									
Sub-indicator 2.3.1	Do educational institutions <sup>20</sup> adopt a "whole-institution approach" to SD/ESD?								
	Phase II: please specify for all levels of your education system non-formal and informal education. If relevant data are availa			D in th	e table by ticking (V) as appropriate, as well as for				
	ISCEI	O levels	Yes	No					
		0							
Yes x No		2							
103 X 110 🗀		3							
		4							
		5							
	The state of the s	6							
	Tea	cher education		<u> </u>					
Sub-indicator 2.3.2	Are there any incentives (guidelines, award scheme, funding, to	echnical support) th	at supp	port "a	whole institution approach to SD/ESD"?				
	Phase II: Please specify what schemes are available for all lev appropriate, as well as for non-formal and informal education.								
	ISCE	D levels	Yes	No					
		0							
Yes x No		1	-						
1 CS X 1 NO		3							
		4							
		5							
		6							
	<u>Tea</u>	cher education							
Sub-indicator 2.3.3	Do institutions/learners develop their own SD indicators for the	eir institution/organ	ization	1?					

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> A "whole institution approach" means that all aspects of an institution's internal operations and external relationships are reviewed and revised in light of SD/ESD principles. Within such an approach each institution would decide on its own actions, addressing the three overlapping spheres of Campus (management operations); Curriculum; and Community (external relationships).

<sup>20</sup> For higher education institutions: **Whole university**, **whole college** or **whole faculty approach** (including inter-faculty approaches).

	Phase II: Please specify for all levels of your ed				), in th	he tabl	e by ticking (V) as appropriate, as well as for			
	non-formal and informal education. If relevant of	ISCED levels	iso specify Yes		No					
		1SCED levels	ies		IVO					
		1								
		2								
Yes No x		3								
		4								
		5								
		Too show advisor	<b></b>							
		Teacher educat	uon	L						
	Indicator 2.4 ESD is ad-	dressed by quality assessi	mant/anha	noor	mont o	avatom				
Sub-indicator 2.4.1						•				
Sub-indicator 2.4.1	Are there any education quality assessment/enhancement systems that include criteria on ESD <sup>21</sup> in: (a) national systems? (b) other?									
	Phase II: Please specify for various levels of your education system in accordance with ISCED, in the table by ticking (V) as appropriate, as well as for non-formal and informal education. If relevant data are available please also specify.									
	for non-formal and informal education. If releva	int data are available plea.  ISCED levels		cify.		-)				
		ISCED levels	(a) Yes N	0	No (t	Yes				
		0	105 11		110	105				
(a) Yes No x		1								
(b) Yes No x		2								
		3								
		4								
		<u> </u>								
		Teacher education								
T 1' 4 2 5	FOD 41 . 1 1			4		-1				
	ESD methods and instruments for non-formal			to a	ssess	cnang	es in knowledge, attitude and practice.			
Sub-indicator 2.5.1	Are SD issues addressed in informal and public	awareness-raising activitie	·S !							
Yes No x	Phase II: Please specify. <sup>22</sup>									
Sub-indicator 2.5.2	Is there any support for work-based learning (e.g	g. for small companies, far	mers, trade	uni	ons, a	ssociat	ions), which addresses SD issues?			
Yes x No 🗌	Phase II: Please specify and provide examples.	E.g. see www.respact.at								

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup> For higher education institutions: Either **national centres for quality assessment in higher education** or cooperation with general quality assessment agencies such as the European Foundation for Quality Management (EFQM).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup> Please describe how this is done – for example, in press articles, TV and radio programmes or public lectures promoting environmentally friendly goods and services, sustainable lifestyles.

◡	Ū
	Ē
0	ECE/CEP/AC
	/AC.13/2006/5/Add
	.13
	7
	2/0/2
	)/A
	5

Sub-indicator 2.5.3	Are there any instruments (e.g. research, survey, etc.) in place to assess the outcomes of ESD as a result of non-formal and informal learning?						
Yes No x	Phase II: Please specify, including the results available for (a) attitude, skills and values, and (b) knowledge.						
Indicator 2.6 ESD implementation is a multi-stakeholder process. <sup>23</sup>							
Sub-indicator 2.6.1	Is ESD implementation a multi-stakeholder process?						
Yes x No □	Phase II: Please specify in the table in Annex 2 (a) and (b) and use the scale. Indicate the results in the boxes below.  (a) according to the UNECE Strategy on ESD  (b) according to the UN DESD  A B C D E F  A B C D E F						
Issue 3. EQUIP EDUCATORS WITH THE COMPETENCE TO INCLUDE SD IN THEIR TEACHING							
If necessary, provide relevant information on your country situation regarding this specific objective. (up to 1,500 characters with spaces)							
Indicator 3.1 ESD is included in the training <sup>24</sup> of educators.							
Sub-indicator 3.1.1	Is ESD a part of the initial educators' training? <sup>25</sup>						
Yes No x	Phase II: Please specify by filling in the table in Annex 3.						
Sub-indicator 3.1.2	Is ESD a part of the educators' in-service training? <sup>26</sup>						
Yes x No 🗌	Phase II: Please specify by filling in the table in Annex 3.						
Sub-indicator 3.1.3	Is ESD a part of training of leaders and administrators of educational institutions?						
Yes No x	Phase II: Please specify by filling in the table in Annex 3.						
Indicator 3.2 Opportunities exist for educators to cooperate on ESD.							
Sub-indicator 3.2.1	Are there any networks / platforms of educators and/or leaders/administrators who are involved in ESD in your country?						
Yes x No 🗌	Phase II: Please specify.						
Sub-indicator 3.2.2	Are ESD networks/platforms supported by the government in any way? <sup>27</sup>						
Yes x No 🗌	Please specify how. Please list major ones and describe as appropriate.						

For higher education institutions: This covers the issue of **university "outreach"** (meaning a wide spectrum from regional integration, business cooperation and transdisciplinarity to eco-procurement and research-education-cooperation).

24 ESD is addressed by content and/or by methodology.

25 For higher education institutions: The focus is here on existing **teacher training universities/colleges** regarding SD and ESD for university/college teachers.

26 For higher education institutions: The focus is here on existing **in-service training programmes** regarding SD and ESD for university/college teachers in their own universities/colleges.

27 Including assistance through direct funding, in-kind help, political and institutional support.

	Issue 4. ENSURE THAT ADEQUATE TOOLS AND MATERIALS FOR ESD ARE ACCESSIBLE								
If necessary, provide	relevant information on your country situation regarding this specific objective. (up to 1,500 characters with spaces)								
	Indicator 4.1 Teaching tools and materials for ESD are produced.								
Sub-indicator 4.1.1	Does a national strategy/ mechanism for encouragement of development and production of ESD tools and materials exist?								
Yes x No 🗌	Please describe.								
Sub-indicator 4.1.2	Is public (national, subnational, local) authority money invested in this activity?								
Yes x No 🗌	Phase II: Please specify to what extent public authority money is invested in this activity, by providing an indication of the amount (in USD) referring to the amount of ESD-related research and development expenditures, annually.								
	Indicator 4.2 Quality control mechanisms for teaching tools and materials for ESD exist.								
Sub-indicator 4.2.1	Do you have quality criteria and/or quality guidelines for ESD-related teaching tools and materials that are: (a) supported by public authorities? (b) approved by public authorities? (c) tested and recommended for selection by educational institutions?								
(a) Yes No x	Phase I: for (a) and (b) please describe.								
(b) Yes  No x (c) Yes No x	Phase II: Please specify for (a), (b) and (c) for formal, informal and non-formal education. For (c) please also describe.								
Sub-indicator 4.2.2	Are ESD teaching tools / materials available: (a) in national languages? (b) for all levels of education according to ISCED?								
	Phase I: For (a) please specify.								
(a) Yes x No  (b) Yes  No x	Phase II: For (b) please specify in the table by ticking (V) as appropriate.    ISCED levels   (b)     No   Yes								
	Indicator 4.3 Teaching tools and materials for ESD are accessible.								
Sub-indicator 4.3.1	Does a national strategy/mechanism for dissemination of ESD tools and materials exist?								
Yes x No 🗌	Phase II: Please describe								
Sub-indicator 4.3.2	Is public authority money invested in this activity?								
Yes x No 🗌	Please specify to what extent by providing an indication of the amount in USD referring to the amount of ESD related R&D expenditures, annually.								

ď	Ī
2	Ή.
Dagga 11	$\sim$
_	Į
	A
	$\overline{C}$
	2
	5
	9
	Ä
	ECE/CEP/AC.13/2006/5/Add.
	:-

Sub-indicator 4.3.3	Are approved ESD teaching materials available through the Internet?							
Yes x No 🗌	Please describe.							
Sub-indicator 4.3.4	Is a register or database of ESD teaching tools and materials in national language(s): (a) accessible through the Internet? (b) provided through other channels?							
(a) Yes No x (b) Yes No x	For (a) and (b) please specify.							
	Issue 5. PROMOTE RESEARCH ON AND DEVELOPMENT OF ESD							
If necessary, provide r	relevant information on your country situation regarding this specific objective. (up to 1,500 characters with spaces)							
Indicator 5.1 Research <sup>28</sup> on ESD is promoted.								
Sub-indicator 5.1.1	Is research that addresses content and methods for ESD <sup>29</sup> supported?							
Yes x No 🗌	Phase II: Please specify and provide the total amount annually over the reporting period, and if feasible, as % of the total research budget.							
Sub-indicator 5.1.2	Does any research evaluate the outcome of the implementation of the UNECE Strategy for ESD?							
Yes No x	Please specify.							
Sub-indicator 5.1.3	Are post-graduate programmes available: (1) on ESD: <sup>30</sup> (a) for the master's level? (b) for the doctorate level? (2) addressing ESD: (a) for the master's level? (b) for the doctorate level?							
(1) (a) Yes x No  (1) (b) Yes  (1) No x (2) (a) Yes  (1) No x (b) Yes  (1) No x	Phase II: Please specify for (1) (a) and (b); (2) (a) and (b).							
Sub-indicator 5.1.4	Are there any scholarships supported by public authorities for post-graduate research in ESD: (a) for the master's level; (b) for the doctorate level?							
(a) Yes No x (b) Yes No x	Phase II: Please specify for (a) and (b).							
	Indicator 5.2 Development of ESD is promoted.							
Sub-indicator 5.2.1	Is there any support for innovation and capacity-building in ESD practice? <sup>31</sup>							

These includes support from various sources, such as state, local authorities, business and non-governmental sources.
 E.g. concepts; formation of attitudes and values; development of competencies, teaching and learning; school development; implementation of ICT; means of evaluation including socio-economic impacts.
 ESD is addressed by substance and/or by approach.
 Activities may include pilot projects, action research, social learning, multi-stakeholder teams.

Yes x No 🗌	Phase II: Please specify and provide the total amount annually over the reporting period.								
	Indicator 5.3 Dissemination of research results on ESD is promoted.								
Sub-indicator 5.3.1	Is there any public authority support for mechanisms <sup>32</sup> to share the results of research and examples of good practices in ESD <sup>33</sup> among authorities and stakeholders?								
Yes No x	Phase II: please specify and provide the total amount annually over the reporting period.								
Sub-indicator 5.3.2	Are there any scientific publications: (a) specifically on ESD? (b) addressing ESD?								
(a) Yes x No	Phase I: For (a) if feasible, please provide the number on annual basis over the reporting period. Please list the major ones.								
(b) Yes x No	Phase II: For (b) if feasible, please provide the number on annual basis over the reporting period. Please list the major ones.								
	Issue 6. STRENGTHEN COOPERATION ON ESD AT ALL LEVELS WITHIN THE UNECE REGION								
If necessary, provide	relevant information on your country situation regarding this specific objective. (up to 1,500 characters with spaces)								
	Indicator 6.1 International cooperation on ESD is strengthened within the UNECE region and beyond.								
Sub-indicator 6.1.1	Do your public authorities cooperate in/support international <sup>34</sup> networks on ESD?								
Yes x No 🗌	Phase II: Please specify for national, subnational and local levels and list major networks.								
Sub-indicator 6.1.2	Do educational institutions/organisations (formal and non-formal) in your country participate in international networks related to ESD?								
Yes x No 🗌	Please specify. List major networks.								
Sub-indicator 6.1.3	Are there any state, bilateral and/or multilateral cooperation mechanisms/agreements that include an explicit ESD component?								
Yes No x	Phase II: Please specify and list the major ones.								
Sub-indicator 6.1.4	Does your Government take any steps to promote ESD in international forums outside the UNECE region?								
Yes No x	Please list and describe.								
	Issue 7. FOSTER CONSERVATION, USE AND PROMOTION OF KNOWLEDGE OF INDIGENOUS PEOPLE IN ESD								
Provide relevant info	mation on your country situation regarding this specific issue. (up to 2,000 characters with spaces). Please be as specific as possible.								
Phase II: Please prov	ide the updated information to indicate changes over time.								

E.g. conferences, summer schools, journals, periodicals, networks.

33 E.g. 'participatory approach'; links to local, regional and global problems; integrative approach to environmental, economic and social issues; orientation to understanding, preventing and solving problems.

34 In this context, "international associations, working groups, programmes, partnerships etc. covers "global", "regional" and "subregional".

Phase III: please provide the updated information to indicate changes over time.

# Issue 8. DESCRIBE ANY CHALLENGES AND OBSTACLES ENCOUNTERED IN THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE STRATEGY

Provide relevant information on your country situation regarding this specific issue (up to 1,500 characters with spaces). Please be as specific as possible.

Phase II: please provide the updated information to indicate changes over time.

Phase III: please provide the updated information to indicate changes over time.

# Issue 9. DESCRIBE ANY ASSISTANCE NEEDED TO IMPROVE IMPLEMENTATION

Provide relevant information on your country situation regarding this specific issue (up to 1,500 characters with spaces). Please be as specific as possible.

Phase II: please provide the updated information to indicate changes over time.

Phase III: please provide the updated information to indicate changes over time.

# Annex 1 (a)

# Indicator 2.1, sub-indicator 2.1.1

Please specify which key themes of SD are addressed explicitly in the curriculum/programme of study at various levels of formal education, by filling in the table below. (Please tick (V) relevant themes for each level. Use the blank rows to insert additional themes that are considered to be key themes in addressing learning for sustainable development.)

C		IS	CED	Leve	els	
Some key themes covered by sustainable development	<u>0</u>	<u>1</u>	<mark>2</mark>	<u>3</u>	<mark>4</mark>	<u>5</u>
Peace studies (international relations, security and conflict resolution, partnerships, etc.)						
Ethics and philosophy						
Citizenship, democracy and governance						
Human rights, (including gender, racial and inter-generational equity; )						
Poverty alleviation						
Cultural diversity						
Biological and landscape diversity						
Environmental Protection (Waste management, etc.)						
Ecological principles/ecosystem approach						
Natural resource management (including water, soil, mineral, fossil fuels, etc)						
Climate change						
Personal and family health (e.g. HIV/AIDS, drug abuse,)						
Environmental health (e.g. food and drinking; water quality; pollution)						
Corporate social responsibility						
Production and/or consumption patterns						
Economics						
Rural/urban development						
Total						
Other (countries to add as many as needed)						

NB The indicator will be reflected by (a) a scale based on the sum of ticks and (b) changes in the pattern of response between subsequent reports.

# Most of there themes are covered by the wide range of cross curricular themes on all levels of formal schooling.

The assessment key for this table (max. 102 ticks; "other" not counted) is:

No. of ticks		6–10		26-50	51–75	76–100
Scale	A	В	C	D	Е	F

# Annex 1 (b)

# **Indicator 2.1, sub-indicator 2.1.2**

Please specify the extent to which the following broad areas of competence that support ESD are addressed explicitly in the curriculum<sup>35</sup>/programme of study at various levels of formal education, by filling in the table below.

(Please tick (V) relevant expected learning outcomes for each level. Use the blank rows to insert additional learning outcomes (skills, attitudes and values) that are considered to be key outcomes in your country in learning for sustainable development.)

# Table of learning outcomes

	Table of leaf ining outcomes						
Competence		IS	CEI	) Le			
	Expected outcomes	0	1	<b>2</b>	3	4	<b>5</b>
	- posing analytical questions/critical thinking						
	- understanding complexity/systemic thinking						
	- overcoming obstacles/problem-solving						
Learning to learn	- managing change/problem-setting						
Does education at each level enhance	- creative thinking/future-oriented thinking						
learners' capacity for:	- understanding interrelationships across disciplines/holistic approach						
	Total						
	- other (countries to add as many as needed)						
	-						
	Expected outcomes	0	1	2	3	4	5
	- applying learning in a variety of life-wide contexts						
	- decision making, including in situations of uncertainty						
	- dealing with crises and risks						
Learning to do  Does education at each level enhance	- acting responsibly						
	- acting with self-respect						
learners' capacity for:	- acting with determination						
	Total						
	- other (countries to add as many as needed)						
	-						
	Expected outcomes	0	1	2	3	4	5
	- self-confidence						
Learning to be	- self-expression and communication						
Does education at each level enhance	- coping under stress						
learners' capacity for:	- ability to identify and clarify values ( for phase III)						
•	Total						
	- other (countries to add as many as needed)						

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>35</sup> At state level, where relevant.

	-										
	Expected outcomes										
	- acting with responsibility (locally and globally)										
	- acting with respect for others										
	- identifying stakeholders and their interests										
Learning to live and work together	- collaboration/team working										
Does education at each level enhance	- participation in democratic decision making										
learners' capacity for:	- negotiation and consensus building										
	- distributing responsibilities (subsidiarity)										
	Total										
	- other (countries to add as many as needed)										
	-										

NB The indicator will be reflected by (a) a scale based on the sum of ticks and (b) changes in the pattern of response between subsequent reports.

The assessment key for this table (max. 138 ticks; "other" not counted) is:

No. of ticks	υ,	8–14	10 00	20 70	71–104	105–138
Scale	A	В	C	D	Е	F

Please specify to what extent is ESD implementation is a multi-stakeholder process, by filling in the table below? Please provide examples of good practice. (*Please tick (V) in what type of education stakeholders are involved in both (a) and (b) template-tables.*)

Table (a) According to the UNECE Strategy for ESD

Stakeholders	Classification by UNECE Strategy for ESD							
Starcholucis	Formal	Non-formal	Informal					
NGOs		V	V					
Local government	V	V	V					
Organized labour								
Private sector	V	V						
Community-based		V	V					
Faith-based			V					
Media								
Total								
Other (countries to add as many as needed)								
			-					

The assessment key for this table (max. 21 ticks; "other" not counted) is:

No. of ticks	0–1	2	3–5	6–10	11–15	16-21
Scale	A	В	C	D	Е	F

Table (b) According to UN DESD

		Classification by UN DESD									
Stakeholders	Public awareness	Quality education	Reorienting education	Training	Social learning						
NGOs	V		V	v	V						
Local government	V		V								
Organized labour	V										
Private sector			V	v							
Community-based	V		V		V						
Faith-based	V			v	V						
Media	V										
Total											
Other (countries to add as many as needed)											
		1	<u> </u>								

The assessment key for this table (max. 35 ticks; "other" not counted) is:

No. of ticks	0–5	6–11	12–17	10 23	24–29	30–35	
Scale	A	В	C	D	Е	F	

#### Annex 3

# Indicator 3.1, sub-indicator 3.1.3

Please specify to what extent is ESD a part of the initial and/or in-service educator's training, by filling in the table below by ticking (V) as appropriate.

• •					-													_
		% of education professionals who have received training <sup>36</sup> to integrate ESD into their practice: (see key below)																
ISCED levels					]	Educ	ator	S					Leaders/administrators <sup>37</sup>					
	1	Initial*					I	In service**				In service***						
	A	B	C	D	E	F	A	B	C	D	E	F	A	B	C	D	E	F
0																		
1			1															
2																		
3			1															
4			1															
5			1															
6			1															
Non-formal			I	T														
Informal				<u> </u>														

The assessment key for this table (max. 100%) is:

% of educated trainers	0–5	6–10	11–25	26-50	51–75	76–100
Scale	A	В	C	D	Е	F

- \* Please indicate the % of educators who have received initial training on ESD to total number of educators by the reporting date.
- \*\* Please indicate the % of educators who have received training on ESD to total number of educators who received in-service teacher training by the reporting date.
- Please indicate the % of leaders/administrators who have received training on ESD to total number of leaders/administrators who received in-service teacher training by the reporting date.

 $<sup>^{36}</sup>$  Training is understood to include at least one day (a minimum of 5 contact hours).  $^{37}$  Paragraphs 54 and 55 of the UNECE Strategy on ESD.

Annex 4
Summary and self-assessment<sup>38</sup> by countries:

1	Indicator 1.1	Prerequisite measures are taken to support the promotion of ESD.	☐ Not started ☐ In progress x Developing ☐ Completed
2	Indicator 1.2	Policy, regulatory and operational frameworks support the promotion of ESD.	☐ Not started x In progress ☐ Developing ☐ Completed
3	Indicator 1.3	National policies support synergies between processes related to SD and ESD.	☐ Not started x In progress ☐ Developing ☐ Completed
4	Indicator 2.1	SD key themes are addressed in formal education.	☐ Not started ☐ In progress x Developing ☐ Completed
5	Indicator 2.2	Strategies to implement ESD are clearly identified.	☐ Not started x In progress ☐ Developing ☐ Completed
6	Indicator 2.3	A whole-institution approach to ESD/SD is promoted.	☐ Not started x In progress ☐ Developing ☐ Completed
7	Indicator 2.4	ESD is addressed by quality assessment / enhancement systems.	x Not started
8	Indicator 2.5	ESD methods and instruments for non-formal and informal learning are in place to assess changes in knowledge, attitude and practice.	x Not started
9	Indicator 2.6	ESD implementation is a multi-stakeholder process.	☐ Not started ☐ In progress x Developing ☐ Completed
10	Indicator 3.1	ESD is included in the training of educators.	☐ Not started ☐ In progress x Developing ☐ Completed
11	Indicator 3.2	Opportunities exist for educators to cooperate on ESD.	☐ Not started ☐ In progress x Developing ☐ Completed
12	Indicator 4.1	Teaching tools and materials for ESD are produced.	☐ Not started ☐ In progress ☐ Developing x Completed
13	Indicator 4.2	Quality control mechanisms for teaching tools and materials for ESD exist.	x Not started  In progress Developing Completed
14	Indicator 4.3	Teaching tools and materials for ESD are accessible.	☐ Not started ☐ In progress ☐ Developing x Completed
15	Indicator 5.1	Research on ESD is promoted.	☐ Not started ☐ In progress x Developing ☐ Completed
16	Indicator 5.2	Development of ESD is promoted.	☐ Not started x In progress ☐ Developing ☐ Completed
17	Indicator 5.3	Dissemination of research results on ESD is promoted.	☐ Not started x In progress ☐ Developing ☐ Completed
18	Indicator 6.1	International cooperation on ESD is strengthened within the UNECE region and beyond.	☐ Not started x In progress ☐ Developing ☐ Completed

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>38</sup> On the basis of the answers to the sub-indicators, please self-assess the status of the implementation of the respective indicator in your country. If feasible, please specify the methodology used for the self-assessment. For the self-assessment, the sub-indicators having the status of "proposed" should be ignored.